COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND ENERGY

RE: Application of the Cape Light Compact pursuant to G.L. c. 164, App. §§ 2-1 through 2-10, and 220 C.M.R. §§ 7.00 et seq., for approval of its proposed operating budget for their Residential Energy Conservation Service Program for the

period of January 1, 2004, through December 31, 2004 DTE 03-113

Cape Light Compact's Response to the Department of Telecommunications and Energy's First Set of Information Requests

DTE-1-1 Please refer to page 3 of the Compact's Program Description for its

Massachusetts HomEnergy Program 2004. Explain whether carry-over funds from the 2003 HomEnergy Budget are transferable to another account in the 2004 budget, or do the carry-over funds remain within its

2003 budget account.

Response: Any carryover funds from the 2003 HomEnergy Budget will be

transferred into the 2004 HomEnergy Budget. After the carryover is transferred into the 2004 HomEnergy Budget, nothing will remain in the

2003 budget account.

Respondent: Kevin Galligan, Energy Efficiency Program Manager of the Cape Light

Compact.

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND ENERGY

RE: Application of the Cape Light Compact pursuant to G.L. c. 164, App. §§ 2-1 through 2-10, and 220 C.M.R. §§ 7.00 et seq., for approval of its proposed operating budget for their Residential Energy Conservation Service Program for the period of January 1, 2004, through December 31, 2004

DTE 03-113

Cape Light Compact's Response to the Department of Telecommunications and Energy's First Set of Information Requests

Please refer to "Budget Categories and Format" of the 2004 filing and "Budget Categories and Format" of the 2003 filing (D.T.E. 02-64). Explain the reasons for: (a) the budgetary reduction in Customer Incentive-Energy Efficiency Incentive; and (b) the budgetary increase in Program Implementation-Audits-Vendor.

Response:

(a) The Customer Incentive and the Program Implementation budgets equal the total budget that the Compact spends on the HomEnergy vendor. For the 2003 HomEnergy budget the Compact assumed that, of the total vendor budget, 90% would be used for Customer Incentives and 10% would be used for Program Implementation. For the 2004 HomEnergy budget the Compact assumed that, of the total vendor budget, 75% would be used for Customer Incentives and 25% would be used for Program Implementation. This latter assumption is based on more experience in working with the HomEnergy vendor and implementing the HomEnergy program, and is a more accurate reflection of budget allocations than the former assumption. The effect of this more accurate assumption is to shift some of the budget out of the Customer Incentive-Energy Efficiency Incentive budget and into the Program Implementation-Audits-Vendor budget.

(b) See response to (a).

Respondent: Kevin Galligan, Energy Efficiency Program Manager of the Cape Light Compact.